

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,967	10/02/2000	Bradford G. Corbett JR.	0230CG-101	4319
759	90 03/25/2002			
Charles D Gunter Jr			EXAMINER	
Suite 1600		aden Gunter & Dillon LLP BLOUNT, STEVEN		
201 Main Street Fort Worth, TX 76102-3105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3726	
•			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/676967	Corbett, TR
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	1 Klou	in 3726
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	9	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta 	reply within the statutory minimit, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1-12-01	•
☐ This action is FINAL.	1	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
Sclaim(s)	•	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗌 approved	☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examiner.	
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)	• •
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents h	ave been
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) If the priority documents h	ave been
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num 	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) If the priority documents h ber) Iternational Bureau (PCT	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents h ber) nternational Bureau (PCT)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents h ber) nternational Bureau (PCT)	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interest of the complex of the complex of the certified copies not received:	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents have ber) ternational Bureau (PCT)	ave been

Application/Control Number: 09676967

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as their invention.

In claim 1, a method of installing a gasket in a socket end of a thermoplastic pipe is claimed in the preamble, yet all that is claimed in the body of the claim is the method of forming the gasket, not installing the gasket in a pipe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. patent 4329193 to Sznopek et al in view of U.S. patent 5,411,619 to Sundqvist et al.

With regard to claim 1, Sznopek et al teach the invention, including installing an elastomeric gasket (col 5, lines 40+) on a mandrel (col 6, lines 20+), and extruding a composite material including a thermosetting plastic. See col 5, lines 27+. Sznopek et al do not, however, teach extruding a strip of *thermoplastic* material. Extruding a strip of thermoplastic material about

Application/Control Number: 09676967 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

a mandrel is taught in Sundqvist et al. See col 1, lines 14+. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have extruded a strip of thermoplastic material about the mandrel of Sznopek et al, in light of the teachings of Sundqvist, in order to provide a method for more easily coating the gasket with a cheaper and simpler material (pure resin) than one that requires the use of fiberglass.

With regard to claim 2, note that Sundqvist et al teach joining thermoplastic pipes (col 1, lines 1+); further, Official Notice is taken that it is well known in the art to electroweld thermoplastic pipes together.

With regard to claim 3, while Sundqvist et al teach using a thermoplastic material, they do not explicitly teach extruding polyethylene. The use of Polyethylene as the extruding material is a matter of design choice wherein no stated problem is solved or any new or unusual result achieved by using polyethylene as opposed to some other polymeric material.

With regard to claim 4, note the rejection of claim 1 above, and further note the rotation in figure 1 of Sundqvist, the locating area 28 in figure 2 of Sznopek et al, and cooling spray in col 2 lines 2+ of Sundqvist et al.

With regard to claim 5, note that it is common in operations such as these to terminate an extruding step while continuing to rotate its associated mandrel, and note the knife 9 in Sundqvist.

With regard to claim 6, note the rejection above of claim 3.

With regard to claim 7, see column 1, lines 57+ of Sundqvist.

With regard to claim 8, see member 11 of Sundqvist.

Application/Control Number: 09676967

Art Unit: 3726

With regard to claim 9, again see member 9 of Sundqvist.

With regard to claim 10, see col 3 lines 54+ of Sundqvist et al.

With regard to claim 11, note the rejection of claim 2 above.

Contact Information

5. Official documents related to the instant application may be submitted to the Technology Center 3700 mail center by facsimile at (703) 872-9302 (responses before final) and 703-872-9303 (responses after final). Should Applicant desire to submit a DRAFT response to the Examiner by facsimile transmission, then Applicant should contact the Examiner at the number below for instructions concerning the transmission of DRAFT documents. Applicant is reminded to clearly mark any facsimile transmission as "DRAFT" if it is not to be considered as an official response. Examiner Blount may be contacted at the Patent Office between the hours of 9:00 am to 5:30 P.M. Monday through Friday.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Steven Blount at telephone number (703) 305-0319.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 4